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Abstract
This paper aims to analyse and verify the applicable principles of CSR reporting in light of the regulations of Directive 2014/95/EU, as well as to evaluate quality of non-financial information presented in CSR reports of financial institutions in the Polish market. Two research hypotheses have been postulated in connection with this aim:

(H1) - The Directive 2014/95/EU contains regulations that will contribute to improved comparability and usefulness of information presented in financial statements.

(H2) - financial institutions in the Polish market draft their CSR reports in different ways, which obstructs their comparability.

In order to verify hypothesis (H1), regulations of the Directive 2014/95/EU and specialist literature have been reviewed.

In order to verify hypothesis (H2), the author has conducted research into a group of financial institutions in the Polish financial market by examining and analysing CSR reports compiled in 2010-2015 with regard to quality of the information, in particular, its usefulness and comparability. This assessment involved reviewing of: principles of publication and verification of the reports, frequency of their drafting, volume, scope and structure.
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Introduction
Non-financial reports are significant sources of information used in decision-making processes. It is therefore important that they meet appropriate qualitative criteria that ensure comparability and faithful presentation. Existing research points to qualitative deficiencies of CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) reports with regard to comparability: Elkington, Spencer-Cooke (1997), Gray (2007), Adams (2008), DeSilva (2008), Horehájová, Marasová (2008), Gray, Bebbington (2010), Lang, Lins, Maffett (2012), Martinčik, Polívka (2012),
Szadziewska (2014), Ivanisevic, Stojanovic (2015), Maraková, Lament, Wolak-Tuzimek (2015). The issue of insufficient transparency of non-financial information, caused both by the regulatory gap and by market imperfections, is one of the subjects addressed in research undertaken by the European Commission (Report on Corporate Social Responsibility: promoting society’s interest and a route to sustainable and inclusive recovery (2012/2097/INI). Report on Corporate Social Responsibility: accountable, transparent and responsible business behaviour and sustainable growth (2012/2098/INI). The Directive 2014/95/EU, which lays down principles of compiling CSR reports, is a solution to these issues, although it applies only to large entities employing more than 500 staff. Its regulations can be expected, therefore, to affect only large businesses and to contribute to improved comparability of results and usefulness of the information.

Consequently, the subject can be treated as topical and requiring resolution by assessing the regulations of the Directive 2014/95/EU concerning improvement of comparability and usefulness of information presented in the reports, as well as assessment of quality of information published by financial institutions.

This paper aims to analyse and verify the applicable principles of CSR reporting in light of the regulations of Directive 2014/95/EU, as well as to evaluate quality of non-financial information presented in CSR reports of financial institutions in the Polish market.

Two research hypotheses have been postulated in connection with this aim:

(H1) - the Directive 2014/95/EU contains regulations that will contribute to improved comparability and usefulness of information presented in financial statements.

(H2) - financial institutions in the Polish market draft their CSR reports in different ways, which obstructs their comparability.

In order to verify hypothesis (H1), regulations of the Directive 2014/95/EU and specialist literature have been reviewed.

In order to verify hypothesis (H2), the author has conducted research into a group of financial institutions in the Polish financial market by examining and analysing CSR reports compiled in 2010-2015 with regard to quality of the information, in particular, its usefulness and comparability. This assessment involved reviewing of: principles of publication and verification of the reports, frequency of their drafting, volume, scope and structure.

1 Regulations of Directive 2014/95/EU and quality of non-financial information
The goal of Directive 2014/95/EU is to enhance cohesion and comparability of non-financial information disclosed by entities operating in the EU, especially as a majority of large organisations are active in more than one country. This should lead to presentation of a correct and full view of policies, results and risks of a given business. Thus, the Directive fills an existing regulatory gap and can be expected to contribute to improving comparability and transparency of CSR reporting.

Directive 2014/95/EU applies to large enterprises of public interest, i.e. quoted companies, insurance companies, banks and other organisations of public importance in view of their business profile and employing more than 500 staff on average in a financial year as at the balance closing date.

Pursuant to Article 1 section 1 item 1 of Directive 2014/95/EU, the organisations concerned shall include in their reports non-financial information, including information required to understand the development, results and position of the organisation and the impact of its operations in respect of environmental and social issues, respect for human rights, counteracting bribery and corruption, including:

- A brief description of the business model.
- A description of practices with regard to such issues, including due diligence processes in place.
- The outcomes of these practices.
- The chief risks associated with these issues and with the operations of the organisation,
- The key non-financial performance indicators relating to a given business.

Notably, reporting organisations:

- Must, as a minimum, provide explanations if they do not follow any policies in respect of the foregoing issues.
- By way of exception, may omit information about expected occurrences or matters subject to negotiations in progress if their disclosure might have a seriously adverse effect on commercial position of an organisation while having no impact on a correct and objective understanding of the development, performance and position of the organisation and the impacts of its activities.
- Can rely on national, EU or international framework principles. These should be specified in the circumstances.
- May be free from the duty to report non-financial information if they prepare a separate report which is published together with financial statements, or on the organisation's
website, within six months of the balance closing date, and if financial statements contain a reference to such a report.

Analysis of the Directive’s regulations shows:

1. Its scope covers only large entities; consequently, merely a narrow minority of businesses report,
2. Reporting standards may be selected from among certain specified international and EU norms of varying scopes of information. As a result, entities will report in accordance with different principles and guidelines.
3. An entity may not disclose its financials if it regards them as sensitive.
4. The compulsory verification applies only to the scope of non-financial information published and its compliance with the minimum. Non-financial information is not audited, therefore.

2 Principles of CSR reporting in financial institutions from the Polish market - results

This part of the paper aims to verify hypothesis (H2). To this end, the author has examined a group of financial institutions in the Polish market by analysing their CSR reports. The financial institutions that prepared CSR reports submitted to GRI (Global Reporting Initiative) in 2010-2015 were selected. These included: Bank Gospodarstwa Krajowego SA, PZU SA, Bank Millennium SA, Bank Zachodni WBK SA, ING Bank Śląski SA, Provident, ANG Spółdzielnia Doradców Kredytowych. 21 CSR reports drafted by 8 financial institutions in 2010-2015 were reviewed, including those by 6 banks, 1 insurance company, and 1 financial intermediary. The following issues were analysed and evaluated in particular: principles of reporting, report verification, reporting period, volume and scope of reports. The group assessed are described in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics of the research group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structure of CSR reports by type of financial institution – overall (quantity):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Banks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Insurance companies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Financial intermediaries</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of CSR reports by type of financial institution – overall (%):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Banks</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The research implies:

1. All the businesses examined drafted their reports in accordance with GRI guidelines, though using different versions as modified by GRI (Table 2).

### Table 2: Structure of CSR reports in 2010-2015 by principles of reporting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRI – G3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRI – G4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The CSR reports were subject to external verification – 8, or 38%, of the reports were not verified. The shares of unverified reports in the overall structure in the particular years was as follows: 2010 – 67%, 2011 – 50%, 2012 – 50%, 2013 – 33%, 2014 – 20%, 2015 – 0%. Numbers of reports subject to the verification were increasing, therefore (Figure 1).

### Fig. 1: Structure of CSR reports in 2010-2015 as per the verification criterion

Source: The author’s own compilation on the basis of CSR reporting financial institutions.

3. Most businesses compiled their CSR reports for one year – this was true of 16, or 76.2% of all the reports. Bi-annual reporting was adopted in 5 cases, 23.8% of the total.
of the reports as per frequency of their preparation by the individual types of financial institutions is illustrated in Figure 2.

**Fig. 2: Structure of CSR reports as per frequency of their preparation by the individual types of financial institutions**

Source: The author’s own compilation on the basis of: CSR reporting financial institutions.

4. Volume of the CSR reports ranges from 25 to 146 pages. Structure of the CSR reports according to their volume is presented in Figure 3.

5. Scopes of the CSR reports vary, though they are drafted following the same guidelines. Shared element can be distinguished, however, arising from application of identical standards, e.g. social actions, natural environment, employees, action strategy, etc. Incomparability of CSR reporting concerns not only different entities but also periods of reporting by the same businesses. Detailed results are summarised in Table 3.

**Fig. 3: Structure of the CSR reports according to their volume**
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Table 3: Areas of CSR reporting by financial institutions in the Polish market in 2010-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Financial institution/ report CSR</th>
<th>Scope (structure) of report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Bank Gospodarstwa Krajowego SA 2009-2010</td>
<td>Strategy, CSR actions, values of BGK SA, conditions of employment, relations with business partners, effect on the environment, activities of BGK SA Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>PZU SA 2010</td>
<td>About the company, strategy, CSR actions, employees, environment, business support and development, regional development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>PZU SA 2011-2012</td>
<td>About the company, strategy, corporate governance, CSR actions, relations with stakeholders, ethics, employment, environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>PZU SA 2013-2014</td>
<td>Strategy, values of PZU, CSR actions, conditions of employment, relations with partners, activities of PZU Foundation, effect on the environment, risk management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Millennium 2010</td>
<td>Mission, values, dialogue with stakeholders, transparency of activities and safety, a good workplace, aspects of environment impacts, working for society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Millennium 2011</td>
<td>Mission, values, parameters of reporting, organisation profile, corporate culture, financial results, dialogue with stakeholders, dialogue with customers, dialogue with investors, dialogue with business partners, dialogue with employees, transparency of activities and safety, a good workplace, aspects of environment impacts, working for society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Millennium 2012</td>
<td>Standards used by the bank, approach to sustainable development, parameters of reporting, organisation profile, key stakeholders, activities addressed to stakeholders (customers, investors, business partners, staff), working for society, transparency of activities and safety, effect on the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The author’s own compilation on the basis of: CSR reporting financial institutions.
The author’s research discussed in this paper has helped to verify the working hypotheses:
(H1) - Directive 2014/95/EU contains regulations that will contribute to improved comparability and usefulness of information presented in financial statements.

Regrettfully, provisions of the Directive do not solve the existing problems related to assuring adequate quality attributed of CSR reports. This is due both to the subjective scope of the Directive, covering solely large businesses, and its objective scope, as it offers freedom of choice of reporting principles, fails to impose the duty of verifying non-financial data, allows for omission of sensitive data, and fails to provide for sanctions.
Directive 2014/95/EU must be therefore assumed to fill a regulatory gap yet will contribute to improvement of CSR reports’ quality to a limited extent, chiefly in respect of comparability and clarity.

(H2) - Financial institutions in the Polish market draft their CSR reports in different ways, which obstructs their comparability.

This is affirmed by the author’s research, which indicates CSR reports vary with regard not only to entities but also to reporting periods by the same businesses. Major differences relate to: principles and areas of the reporting, frequency and volume of the reports, as well as their verification.

It must be concluded neither the existing regulations nor the reporting practices ensure the qualitative features in question. As a consequence, CSR reports are incomparable and unclear. Introduction of sectoral reporting standards in future should be considered, as it would help to improve clarity and comparability of the reports.

References


**Contact**

Marzanna Lament, PhD.

Institution: Faculty of Economics and Legal Sciences, Kazimierz Pulaski University of Technologies and Humanities in Radom

Full address of institution: Chrobry Street 31, 26-600 Radom (Poland)

Mail: marzannala@poczta.onet.pl